United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,722	10/29/2003	Tomohiro Azuma	Q77946	4037
23373 7590 01/24/2008		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			WANG, TED M	
SUITE 800	N DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037		•	2611	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/694,722	AZUMA, TOMOHIRO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ted M. Wang	2611			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ARANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	ovember 2007.				
	<u> </u>	action is non-final.	•			
3)	,_					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☐ Claim(s) 1 and 12 is/are rejected.					
	☐ Claim(s) <u>2-11 and 13-22</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•			
Applicati	ion Papers		•			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 07 November 2007 into		ad An Inc. the Francisco			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2007</u> is/ai					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 140(a)	(d) or (f)			
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.C. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).			
٠,١		s have been received				
	 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	application from the International Bureau		d III tills National Stage			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 11/07/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 12 under 35 USC 102(a) has been fully considered and is persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Shattil (US 7,076,168).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art of the instant application in view of Shattil (US 7,076,168).
 - □ With regard claim 1, the admitted prior art of the instant application discloses an array antenna transceiver (Fig.8 and page 8 lines 10-16) for performing broadband transmission by a multicarrier, comprising:

means for grouping all subcarriers (Fig.8 element 807) and calibrating a transmission route for each group (column page 4 lines 12-20).

The admitted prior art of the instant application discloses all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching that the adder 807 grouping all subcarriers into a plurality of subcarrier groups.

Page 3

However, Shattil teaches grouping all subcarriers into a plurality of subcarrier groups (Fig. 13 element 207, 204, 255, and column 25 lines 25-56) in order to separate the received multicarrier signals and perform diversity combination for these grouped subcarriers so that the small-scale fading on interferometry multiplexing can be reduced (Abstract lines 14-15). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the circuits 207, 204 and 255 as taught by Shattil in to Fig.8 of the admitted prior art of the instant application to substitute element 807 (Fig.8) in order to reduce the small-scale fading on interferometry multiplexing.

With regard claim 12, which is a method claim related to claim 1, all limitation is contained in claim 1. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-11 and 13-22 are objected to as being dependent upon an objected claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2611

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ted M. Wang

Ted M Wang Examiner Art Unit 2611